

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USPIO.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,275	03/27/2001	Akira Nakabayashi	1920-060	6852
32172	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL. NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Analicant/a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/806,275	NAKABAYASHI, AKIRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert D. Harlan	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2005.						
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8,11-21,25-30,35-37 and 39-170 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,101,141 and 167-170 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-8,11-21,25-30,32-37,39-100,102-140 and 142-166 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. The Amendment and Petition for Time Extension filed by Applicant on 03/31/05 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 9-10, 22-24, 31-34 and 38 have been canceled.
- 3. New claims 39-170 have been added.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 4. Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on 03/12/02 have been fully considered and they are found persuasive.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murasawa et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,547,823 (hereinafter "Murasawa") is withdrawn.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kimura et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,407,033 (hereinafter "Kimura") is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 1, 101, 141 and 167-170 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to
 particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
 which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claims 1, 101, 141 and 167-170 are problematic. The Applicants recite "selected from the group of different compounds each of which independently comprises at least on structural unit selected from the group consisting of . . ." (emphasis added). First, what are the different compounds? Second, "comprises" should not be insert when using a Markush format. Finally, there is a second Markush limitation that is proper but it is unclear if it is related to the first Markush limitation.
- 10. The claims express alternative limitation and should be written in a proper Markush format. Alternative expressions are

permitted if they present no uncertainty or ambiguity with respect to the question of scope or clarity of the claims. One acceptable form of alternative expression, which is commonly referred to as a Markush group, recites members as being 'selected from the group consisting of A, B and C" or wherein the group is A, B or C. See Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126 (Comm'r Pat. 1925). It is improper to use the term "comprising" along with "consisting of." See Ex parte Dotter, 12 USPQ 382 (Bd. App. 1931). It is suggested that the Applicant adopt a standard Markush format. See MPEP 2173.05(h).

- 11. Claims 1, 101, 141 and 167-170 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claims 2-8, 11-21, 25-30, 32-37, 39-100, 102-140 and 142-166 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

Page 5

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 15: Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1713

Art Unit 1713

Page 6

rdh